

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,378	09/18/2003	Tadashi Ikeda	5616-76	8943	
7590 12/07/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Marina F. Cunningham			HO, HA	. HO, HA DINH	
McCormick, Pa City Place II	ulding & Huber LLP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
185 Asylum Street			3681		
Hart ford, CT	06103		DATE MAILED: 12/07/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1
<u></u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/666,378	IKEDA, TADASHI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
7	Ha D. Ho	3681	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ T 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice under the second se	his action is non-final. wance except for formal ma	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1,4,9 and 14</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) <u>2,3,5-8,10-13 and 15-18</u> is/are obj 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration. ected to.		
Application Papers			
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 18 September 2003 Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the constant of t	is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	().
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/18/03 & 10/14/04.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is the first Office Action on the merits of Application No. 10/666,378 filed on 9/18/03.

2. Receipt is acknowledged of the Preliminary Amendment filed on 9/18/03. Claims 1-18 are currently pending.

Drawings

- 3. The drawings are objected to because:
 - Figures 1 and 2 show the input shaft 2 and the output shaft 3 being integrally
 connected to each other. As understood, the input and output shafts are not rotatably
 connected together.
 - Figure 1 shows the elements 28 and 12 not being integrally connected to each other.
 As understood, the elements are rotatably connected together.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the

Art Unit: 3681

renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 5. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of using the implied phrase, i.e., "the present invention" (see line 11). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - The specification improperly makes reference to the claims (see paragraphs 0012-0019).
 - In paragraph 0024, line 4, "3" should be changed to --2--, and "2" should be changed to --3--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3681

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the WO 91/08407 reference. The reference is cited in Form PTO-1449 filed 10/14/04.

The WO 91/08407 shows a transmission (see Figs. 1 and 2) comprising an input shaft 3 for inputting drive force from an engine (inherently), an output shaft 30 disposed substantially concentrically with the input shaft so as to be capable of relative rotation with respect to the input shaft; a first layshaft 10 disposed substantially parallel to the input shaft and the output shaft; a speed reduction gear (e.g., a pair of meshing gears with one is supported on the shaft 10 and the other is supported on the shaft 30) connected between the output shaft and the first layshaft in a constant-mesh manner, and a plurality of transmission gear pairs provided between the input shaft and output shaft to mutually engage and to transmit the rotational force of the input shaft to the output shaft,

wherein said transmission further comprises an input gear 5 fixedly attached to the input shaft; a second layshaft 43 disposed substantially parallel to the input shaft; a second layshaft gear 46 that engages the input gear and is disposed on the second layshaft; and a braking mechanism (90) provided inside the transmission for braking the input shaft by braking the second layshaft gear.

Art Unit: 3681

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the WO 91/08407 reference in view of Quick et al (US 4,211,313).

The WO 91/08407 does not show the braking mechanism being a wet multiple disk clutch.

Quick et al shows a transmission including a braking mechanism 30 being a wet multiple disk clutch.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the braking mechanism of the WO 91/08407 by the braking mechanism of Quick et al in order to extend the working life of the brake because the braking mechanism of Quick et al has the hydraulic fluid that flows around the brake disks and dissipate heat from the brake as it flows from the brake assembly (col. 2, lines 20-31).

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 2-3, 5-8, 10-13 and 15-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3681

Cited Prior Art

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Findley'545, Richards'410, Morscheck et al.'115, and Ikeda et al.'664 which each shows a transmission having a plurality of shafts and a braking/retarding mechanism.

Communication

Submission of your response by facsimile transmission is encouraged. The fax phone 13. numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications. Recognizing the fact that reducing cycle time in the processing and examination of patent applications will effectively increase a patent's term, it is to your benefit to submit responses by facsimile transmission whenever permissible. Such submission will place the response directly in our examining group's hands and will eliminate Post Office processing and delivery time as well as the PTO's mail room processing and delivery time. For a complete list of correspondence not permitted by facsimile transmission, see M.P.E.P. 502.01. In general, most responses and/or amendments not requiring a fee, as well as those requiring a fee but charging such fee to a deposit account, can be submitted by facsimile transmission. Responses requiring a fee which applicant is paying by check should not be submitting by facsimile transmission separately from the check. Responses submitted by facsimile transmission should include a Certificate of Transmission (M.P.E.P., 512). The following is an example of the format the certification might take:

hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to
he Patent and Trademark Office on
(Date)
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:
(Signature)

If your response is submitted by facsimile transmission, you are hereby reminded that the original should be retained as evidence of authenticity (37 CFR 1.4 and M.P.E.P.. 502.02). Please do not separately mail the original or another copy unless required by the Patent and Trademark Office. Submission of the original response or a follow-up copy of the response after your response has been transmitted by facsimile will only cause further unnecessary delays in the processing of your application; duplicate responses where fees are charged to a deposit account may result in those fees being charged twice.

7

Art Unit: 3681

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Ho whose telephone number is (703) 305-0738. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. Eastern Standard Time. If attempts to reach the examiner by phone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Charles Marmor, can be reached at (703) 308-0830. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

HDH (703) 305-0738 December 1, 2004 HAHO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 3681

12/01/04